

令和6年度入学試験問題

地域経営学部

編入学選抜、社会人選抜

小論文

(注意事項)

1. この問題冊子は試験開始の合図があるまで開いてはならない。
2. 問題は全部で4ページある。落丁・乱丁、印刷不鮮明の箇所などがあつた場合は申し出ること。
3. 別に解答用紙が2枚および下書き用紙が2枚ある。
4. 解答はすべて解答用紙の指定された箇所に横書きで記入すること。
5. 受験番号は解答用紙の指定された箇所に必ず記入すること。
6. 解答時間は90分である。
7. 問題冊子及び下書き用紙は持ち帰ること。

問題 1 次の英文の要約を 200 字以内の日本語で書きなさい。

The government was compelled to carry out a “general inspection” of the My Number personal identification system it has been vigorously promoting following a stream of reports about errors in the registration of personal information.

Instead of trying to impose the onus to fix the problems on local governments and related organizations, the Kishida administration should identify the shortcomings of this policy initiative and rethink its single-minded approach to expanding the user base of the system.

The government set up its “headquarters” for the general inspection of the system within the Digital Agency. The task force will check to confirm the accuracy of information linked to the ID numbers of My Number Card holders that can be accessed at a special website for users.

As a first step, the team will ask local governments and organizations managing the public pension and health care programs to report on how they processed applications and managed information. This shows that the Kishida administration left the operation of the system entirely to these organizations and failed to ensure that the rules for checking information are strictly followed.

That errors occurred during the process of fact checking huge amounts of information was highly predictable. The administration neglected to take basic steps for keeping on top of the situation and preventing problems from damaging the interests of users and causing general anxiety. This failure only increased the burden to be borne by front-line workers and organizations. The ministries and agencies involved are wholly responsible for the mess.

At a news conference to announced the inspection, Prime Minister Fumio Kishida pledged his government will tackle the challenge through “cross-section efforts to be made in a crisis management mode comparable to its emergency response to the COVID-19 pandemic.”

It is easy to make such a pledge, but the question is whether the people and organizations involved can afford to shoulder the additional work load.

Some administration officials have pointed out structural problems with the Digital Agency's organization related to information sharing. Unless these problems are eliminated, there could be more cases of information failures concerning the My Number system.

At the heart of the My Number fiasco is the administration's headlong rush toward expanding the use of the system in all aspects of daily life. In particular, its use of the carrot-and-stick approach for rapid popularization of the card system, through generous reward point campaigns and the threat to abolish the current health insurance card system, fueled public doubt and anxiety about the initiative.

The headquarters for the general inspection are commanded by digital transformation minister Taro Kono, who has decided to scrap the health insurance card system in autumn 2024. He will not be able to regain the public's trust without some serious soul-searching over his approach to the mission.

As for the plan to abolish the health insurance card, Kishida pledged to go ahead with it as scheduled. However, he cautioned that implementation will depend on whether public anxiety is eliminated.

Many people in the health and nursing care sectors have voiced concerns about this plan. Unlike errors during the data-inputting process, this is a more complicated challenge with broader implications that cannot be overcome simply through the general inspection. The government should step back from forging ahead with the plan under its current timeframe.

(出典 : EDITORIAL: Calling for a new approach to promoting My Number ID card, The Asahi Shimbun Asia & Japan Watch, June 27, 2023, 原文のまま一部抜粋)
「朝日新聞社に無断で転載することを禁じる」「承諾番号 24-1078」

問題2 次の二つの図は、令和5年版『国土交通白書』からの引用である。これらの図に基づいて、以下の設問に答えなさい。




図1 暮らしや生活環境の重要度・満足度




図2 暮らしや生活環境の重要度・満足度（年代別）

(注) 図表では選択肢を省略して示している。

自然の豊かさ：自然の豊かさや環境保全の状況

防災体制：自然災害等に対する防災体制

働く場：雇用機会や働く場（やりたい仕事に就く機会があるかどうか）

買い物の利便性：日常の買い物の利便性

公共交通：公共交通（鉄道・バス等）の利便性

子育て：子供の遊び場や保育所など子育てのための施設やサービスの状況

設 問

問 1 図 1 の説明を 200 字以内で書きなさい。

問 2 図 1 と図 2 を踏まえ、暮らしや生活環境の重要度・満足度に関する世代間の相違について、その理由も含めて 200 字以内で書きなさい。